Case 2:09-mj-03225-LOA Document 4 Filed 06/01/09 Page 1 of 1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

JUN	0	1	2009	
JUN	0	1	2009	

COPY

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA DEPUTY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL					
	Augustine Flores-Lugo	Case Number: _					
ad was ropres	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142( sented by counsel. I conclude by a preponde e defendant pending trial in this case.	f), a detention hearing we rance of the evidence the	as held on 6/1/09 ne defendant is a seric	_ Defendant was present ous flight risk and order the			
	FIN	DINGS OF FACT					
find by a prep	onderance of the evidence that:			!-lanaa			
×	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.						
☒	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.						
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.						
П	The United States or in the District of Arizona.						
	The defendant has no resources in the Uto assure his/her future appearance.	resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated					
	The defendant has a prior criminal histor						
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	60					
	substantial family ties to Mexico.	licant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has					
	There is a record of prior failure to appear	ppear in court as ordered.					
	The defendant attempted to evade law 6	w enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.					
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	num of years imprisonment.					
The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.							
	CON	ICLUSIONS OF LAW					
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendar No condition or combination of condition	nt will flee. ns will reasonably assure	e the appearance of th	ne defendant as required.			
	DIRECTION	S REGARDING DETEN	NTION				
a corrections	defendant is committed to the custody of the facility separate, to the extent practicable, from defendant shall be afforded a reasonable of States or on request of an attorney for the the United States Marshal for the purpose	portunity for private con	sultation with defense	counsel. On order of a count			

## APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2005, Defendant shall have ten (10) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) will waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: 6-1-09

wo

Lawrence O. Anderson

United States Magistrate Judge